

Message

---

**From:** Medina, Dayana [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=DDEA406F71F24558B60679DB3F5CE386-MEDINA, DAYANA]  
**Sent:** 6/4/2020 6:03:19 PM  
**To:** Vela, Austin [Vela.Austin@epa.gov]  
**Subject:** RE: Texas Regional Haze BART Final Rule Comms

Thanks, I'll work on reviewing and updating this afternoon.

**Dayana Medina**

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6  
Regional Haze and SO2 Section (ARSH)  
1201 Elm Street, Suite 500  
Dallas, Texas 75270-2102  
214-665-7241

---

**From:** Vela, Austin <Vela.Austin@epa.gov>  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 04, 2020 12:50 PM  
**To:** Medina, Dayana <Medina.Dayana@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** RE: Texas Regional Haze BART Final Rule Comms

---

Austin Vela  
Congressional Liaison Specialist  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6  
Office: 214-665-9792



---

**From:** Medina, Dayana <[Medina.Dayana@epa.gov](mailto:Medina.Dayana@epa.gov)>  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 04, 2020 12:18 PM  
**To:** Mocka, Corey <[mocka.corey@epa.gov](mailto:mocka.corey@epa.gov)>  
**Cc:** Chang, Alice <[Chang.Alice@epa.gov](mailto:Chang.Alice@epa.gov)>; Vela, Austin <[Vela.Austin@epa.gov](mailto:Vela.Austin@epa.gov)>; Huser, Jennifer <[Huser.Jennifer@epa.gov](mailto:Huser.Jennifer@epa.gov)>; Feldman, Michael <[Feldman.Michael@epa.gov](mailto:Feldman.Michael@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** RE: Texas Regional Haze BART Final Rule Comms

Corey,

I am cc'ing Austin Vela, who is the R6 External Affairs contact for the TX RH action. Austin is checking to see if HQs is already working on a communications plan for the TX RH BART Final Rule (given that the Administrator is signing the FR notice), or if this is being delegated to R6. I cc'ed Austin and other R6 folks so they can weigh in on whether or not we should have a single communications plan to cover both the TX RH BART Final Rule and the petition denial NOA.

Austin- for your reference, the petition denial Notice of Availability is a HQs action that OAQPS is taking the lead on, and which is separate but somewhat related to the TX RH BART Final Rule. The petition denial Notice of Availability is not something that is going through R6 concurrence or being signed/concurred on by the R6 RA. But because the TX RH

BART Final Rule and the petition denial Notice of Availability are related, we are asking the Administrator to sign both notices on the same day and Corey has suggested that we use a single communications plan to cover both actions.

Thanks,

**Dayana Medina**

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6  
Regional Haze and SO2 Section (ARSH)  
1201 Elm Street, Suite 500  
Dallas, Texas 75270-2102  
214-665-7241

---

**From:** Mocka, Corey <[mocka.corey@epa.gov](mailto:mocka.corey@epa.gov)>  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 04, 2020 11:57 AM  
**To:** Medina, Dayana <[Medina.Dayana@epa.gov](mailto:Medina.Dayana@epa.gov)>  
**Cc:** Chang, Alice <[Chang.Alice@epa.gov](mailto:Chang.Alice@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** RE: Texas Regional Haze BART Final Rule Comms

Hi Dayana-

Yes, I am suggesting that we use a single communications plan to cover both the TX RH BART Final Rule and the petition denial NOA considering one references the other, and they are being signed simultaneously. It would be best if the information in both plans is the same. This will ensure that the OAR press contacts are providing the same responses as Region 6.

If we worked together on one communications plan to start, I could then copy the important pieces over to the OAQPS format. The information would be the same.

What are your thoughts? I am not against doing separate plans if that is your preference.

---

**From:** Medina, Dayana <[Medina.Dayana@epa.gov](mailto:Medina.Dayana@epa.gov)>  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 4, 2020 10:53 AM  
**To:** Mocka, Corey <[mocka.corey@epa.gov](mailto:mocka.corey@epa.gov)>  
**Cc:** Chang, Alice <[Chang.Alice@epa.gov](mailto:Chang.Alice@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** RE: Texas Regional Haze BART Final Rule Comms

Hi Corey,

I have not yet drafted a communications plan, but will be working with the R6 External Affairs Office on this today. Are you saying we should use a single communications plan for the Texas RH BART Final Rule and the petition denial? Usually, our External Affairs office takes the lead on the communications plan and asks for our input, and there's a certain format they use. Is there a particular format OAQPS follows, or would you be ok using ours?

Thanks,

**Dayana Medina**

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6  
Regional Haze and SO2 Section (ARSH)  
1201 Elm Street, Suite 500  
Dallas, Texas 75270-2102  
214-665-7241

---

**From:** Mocka, Corey <[mocka.corey@epa.gov](mailto:mocka.corey@epa.gov)>  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 04, 2020 9:47 AM  
**To:** Medina, Dayana <[Medina.Dayana@epa.gov](mailto:Medina.Dayana@epa.gov)>  
**Cc:** Chang, Alice <[Chang.Alice@epa.gov](mailto:Chang.Alice@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** Texas Regional Haze BART Final Rule Comms

Hi Dayana-

Did you draft a communications plan for the Texas Regional Haze BART Final Rule? I am thinking we should merge the info from the petition denial into the same document.

Corey

Corey A. Mocka  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards  
(919) 541-5142  
[mocka.corey@epa.gov](mailto:mocka.corey@epa.gov)